

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,001	03/22/2004	Stephen S. Shiao	CE10443J	1207
	7590 10/29/200 GIBBONS, GUTMAN	EXAMINER		
& BIANCO P.L.			PEREZ, JULIO R	
551 N.W. 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 BOCA RATON, FL 33487			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
			· Y	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoboca@focusonip.com

A	•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/806,001	SHIAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julio R. Perez	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	ugust 2007.	•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Address of the Control of the Contro					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 8-11, 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gitlin et al. (hereinafter Gitlin), US 6,064,662 in view of Gardner US 2004/0125740 and Rozanski et al. ((5,627,880).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 14, Gitlin discloses a method (wireless device) for receiving digital data, the method comprising: receiving a plurality of RF carriers (col. 4, lines 14-42, teach allocation of frequency bans, that is, channels or carriers to different users), at least two carriers of the plurality of carriers divided into a periodic series of timeslots for each carrier (Note that col. 4, lines 14-53 teach allocation of "slices" of the frequency band, which read on the carriers divided into timeslots) where each timeslot in the periodic series of timeslots is able to carry independent data content (col. 4, lines 14-25 teach low and high-speed users, which are allocated different frequency slices for carrying signal information over a medium, which read on carrying independent data, the at least two carriers each modulated with different portions of a single data stream during at least one timeslot of each carrier within the periodic series of timeslots (col. 4, lines 17-67, Note that col. 4, lines 18-21, 64-67, teach "high-speed users have the ability

Art Unit: 2617

to modulate their signals" or "users may align themselves with their assigned timefrequency slices (i.e., timeslots) via appropriate signal configuration (modulation)", which reads on modulating the different portions within the timeslots.

Gitlin does not explicitly disclose demodulating the at least two carriers to detect the different portions of the single data stream and assembling the different portions of the single data stream to reconstruct the single data stream.

Gardner teaches digital filter bank may then be used to select a frequency band from the digital samples (single data stream portions) to form a time-domain representation of a modulated carrier. The time-domain representation of the modulated carrier may then be transformed into a frequency-domain sample set. The frequency-domain sample set may then be demodulated in order to recover a data substream (i.e., data portion of the data stream). According to this illustrative method, a plurality of sub-streams may then be combined to form a data stream" and he present invention also comprises an alternative embodiment of a data receiver for demodulating a digital sample stream representing a signal modulated with a multi-carrier modulation in which the individual carriers have been filtered for spectral containment or other purposes." (Pars. 30, 43, 60). Note that par. 60, lines 18-20 further teaches the data multiplexer to combine (i.e., assemble) the data sub streams (i.e., data portions) into a single data stream to be delivered to a particular receiver, which read on "assembling the different portions of the single data stream to reconstruct the single data stream".

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gitlin, such that data sub streams from the different channels may have been

Art Unit: 2617

demodulated or configured and reconstructed, to provide means for recuperating the data streams more accurately and efficiently.

Gitlin in view of Gardner does not explicitly show a single data portion conforming to data portion that is modulated within one timeslot defined by the RF transmission standard is divided into different sub-portions and each respective sub-portion within the portions modulated onto a different respective carrier of at least two carriers during a portion of respective timeslots.

Rozanski discloses hopping cellular communications assigning each base to a slot of an intersite control frame for periodically transmitting in the assigned slot of the intersite control frame (Figure 5, # 61; col. 3, lines 1-9, 32-53; col. 4, lines 35-67).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gitlin, such that to data portion that is modulated within one timeslot defined by the RF transmission standard is divided into different sub-portions and each respective sub-portion within the portions modulated onto a different respective carriers, to provide efficient and accurate modulation.

Regarding claims 2, 9, 15, the combination discloses selectably receiving the single data stream on a dedicated carrier, the dedicated carrier divided into a periodic plurality of dedicated timeslots, the single data stream being periodically modulated during at least one of the periodic plurality of dedicated timeslots, the dedicated timeslots being longer than the at least one timeslot (Gardner, par. 32, teach receiving data stream and producing several data sub streams, which are modulated).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claims 3, 10, 16, 20, 21, the combination discloses one timeslot comprises at least two timeslots that are divided between at least two carriers, wherein the at least two timeslots occur simultaneously (Gitlin, Figures 1-2, col. 4, lines 17-67 teach the transmission of information at the same time, which reads on occurring simultaneously).

Regarding claims 4, 11, 17, the combination discloses at least two carriers further comprise a service timeslot, and further comprising deactivating the receiver during at least a period of time outside of the at least one timeslot and the service timeslot (Gitlin, Figures 1-2, depict a guard band, i.e., service timeslot or channel; col. 4,lines 18-21).

3. Claims 5-6, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gitlin and Rozanski in view of Iwamura US 2006/0034330.

Regarding claims 5,12, the combination teaches claim 1, but is silent on where the adjusting a number of timeslots within the at least one timeslot by one of adding at least one timeslot and de-allocating at least one timeslot.

Iwamura teaches "the transmitter is informed of time slots it is assigned, wherein the master adjusts the slots available for assignment" (par. 74), which reads on adjusting a number of timeslots.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gitlin, such that assigning the slots represent adjusting a number of slots, to efficiently manage network resources.

Art Unit: 2617

With further regard to claims 6,13, Iwamura teaches requests for bandwidth and prioritization of bandwidth requests, which read on response to an event that changes a current "data bandwidth requirements".

- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gitlin et al. (hereinafter Gitlin), US 6,064,662 in view of Rozanski et al. ((5,627,880).
- 5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gardner.

Regarding claim 7, Gardner discloses a method for transmitting digital data, the method comprising: accepting a single data stream (par. 28, 32. Note that par. 32, lines 6-8, teach a data communications device receiving data stream); dividing the single data stream into different portions (pars. 30, 32, 33; par. 33, lines 1-3, teach a digital data stream being converted to digital samples, which reads on dividing the data stream into portions; par. 32, lines 7-8, further teaches generating a plurality of sutras out of the single data stream, which reads on dividing the single data stream into portions); and modulating a plurality of RF carriers (Figure 1, # 10), at least two carriers of the plurality of carriers divided into a periodic series of timeslots for each carrier Figure 1, #'s 10, 150, 15, 20, 25, pars. 32, 38, 43. Note that par. 38, lines 3-7, teach the modulated carriers are the representation of the sum of the sample sets, which were transformed into a frequency-domain, which read on modulating the plurality of carriers that are divided into series of timeslots (plurality of carriers, i.e., channels or timeslots or slots; i.e., par. 43), where each timeslot in the periodic series of timeslots is able to carry independent data content, the at least two carriers each modulated with the different

Art Unit: 2617

portions during at least one timeslot of each carrier within the periodic series of timeslots (pars. 32, 38, 39, 43).

Gitlin in view of Gardner does not explicitly show a single data portion conforming to data portion that is modulated within one timeslot defined by the RF transmission standard is divided into different sub-portions and each respective sub-portion within the portions modulated onto a different respective carrier of at least two carrier during a portion of respective timeslots.

Rozanski discloses hopping cellular communications assigning each base to a slot of a intersite control frame for periodically transmitting in the assigned slot of the intersite control frame (Figure 5, # 61; col. 3, lines 1-9, 32-53; col. 4, lines 35-67). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gitlin, such that to data portion that is modulated within one timeslot defined by the RF transmission standard is divided into different sub-portions and each respective sub-portion within the portions modulated onto a different respective carriers, to provide efficient and accurate modulation.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-21, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio R. Perez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7846. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 - 6:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2617

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William G. Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julio R Perez Examiner Art Unit 2617

10/15/07

JP

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600